



PUBLIC NOTICE
Board Meeting of the:
Honey Lake Valley Resource Conservation District
170 Russell Ave. Suite C
Susanville, CA 96130
5302574127 ext. 100
Attachments available 3/21/22 at www.honeylakevalleyrcd.us

Date: Thursday, March 24th, 2022
Location: HELD REMOTELY Via ZOOM

This Virtual Meeting is held pursuant to Executive Order N-29-20 issued by Governor Newsom on March 17, 2020 that directs Californians to follow public health directives including canceling large gatherings. The Executive Order also allows local legislative bodies to hold meetings via conference calls while still satisfying state transparency requirements. Executive Order N-33-20, prohibits people from leaving their homes or places of residence except to access necessary supplies and services or to engage in specified critical infrastructure employment.

Note: Pursuant to the Brown Act, Section 54953(b)(2). Section (b) (2) requires that all votes taken during a teleconference meeting shall be by roll call. Any agenda item may be discussed in a different order, if necessary. The Board may take action whether items are listed as action, information or discussion. The meeting is being held solely by remote means and will be made accessible to members of the public seeking to attend and address the Board solely through the phone number set forth below.

Join us on Zoom with information below:

Join Zoom Meeting
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84235155758?pwd=NTFGWUc4VXBOUXRtZHdvQWIMY1N6Zz09>

Meeting ID: 842 3515 5758
Passcode: 392864
One tap mobile
+16699006833,,84235155758#,,,,*392864# US (San Jose)
+12532158782,,84235155758#,,,,*392864# US (Tacoma)

Time: 3:30 PM

AGENDA

NOTE: THE HONEY LAKE VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MAY ADVISE ACTION ON ANY OF THE AGENDA ITEMS SHOWN BELOW.

NOTE: IF YOU NEED A DISABILITY-RELATED MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION, INCLUDING AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES, TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, PLEASE CONTACT THE DISTRICT OFFICE AT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER AND ADDRESS LISTED ABOVE AT LEAST A DAY BEFORE THE MEETING.

I. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1 – Build HLVRCD leadership & organizational capacity.

III. PUBLIC COMMENT

Per RCD Board Policy No. 5030.4.1, during this portion of the meeting, any member of the public is permitted to make a brief statement, express his/her viewpoint, or ask a question regarding matters related to the District. Five (5) minutes may be allotted to each speaker and a maximum of twenty (20) minutes to each subject matter.

IV. CONSENT ITEMS

- A. Correspondence
- B. Consideration and approval of Resolution 2021-7, regarding AB 361 for the continuation of online board meetings. (attachment)

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1 – Build HLVRCD leadership & organizational capacity.

V. REPORTS

- A. District Manager Report – Stuemky
- B. NRCS Agency Report – Stephens
- C. SWAT - Hanson
- D. WAC Report – Langston
- E. Modoc Regional RCD/CARCD Report – Tippin
- F. Fire Safe Council Report – Johnson
- G. IRWMP Report – Claypool
- H. NRCS Partnership Report - Hanson
- I. Unagendized reports by board members

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1 – Build HLVRCD leadership & organizational capacity.

VI. ITEMS FOR BOARD ACTION AND/OR DISCUSSION – RCD

- A. Consideration and selection of dates for a Modoc Plateau Regional RCD meeting

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1 – Build HLVRCD leadership & organizational capacity

- B. Consideration of the update on AB1902 (Aguiar-Curry) proposal to update key portions of Division 9 of the PRC (RCD legislative authority) & approval to support this bill in conjunction with the support to be provided by CARCD & Pacific Policy Group (attachment)

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1 - Build HLVRCDC leadership & organizational capacity

- C. Consideration and approval to apply for the NACD 2022 TA grant.

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 3 – Capture conservation opportunities, as appropriate.

- D. Update on Post-Fire Disaster Recovery Grant proposal

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 2 – Stay Relevant to the Conservation Needs of the Community

- E. Consideration and approval to utilize the Lassen District Library at 1618 Main St, Susanville to hold monthly RCD board meetings until the NRCS office is opened to the public.

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1 – Build HLVRCDC leadership and organizational capacity

- F. Consideration and discussion about funding available regarding the development and growth of Public Burn Associations and community-led prescribed fire groups across California. (attachment)

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1 – Build HLVRCDC leadership and organizational capacity

VII. ITEMS FOR BOARD ACTION AND/OR DISCUSSION– WATERMASTER

- A. Consideration and Approval to pay BBK March 2022 Invoice #928527-928528 (\$1,233.00) (attachment).

Tie to the Strategic Plan: Strategic Issue 1.4 – Watermaster services are professionally provided.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

The next Honey Lake Valley RCD meeting will be **April. 28th, 2022, at 5:30 PM.** The location is the USDA Service Center, 170 Russell Avenue, Suite C, Susanville, CA.

I certify that on Monday, March 21, 2022 agendas were posted as required by Government Code Section 54956 and any other applicable law.

X 

Andrea Stuemky
District Manager

**Board of Directors Honey Lake Valley Resource Conservation
District County of Lassen, State of California**

RESOLUTION 2022-07

**RESOLUTION OF THE HONEY LAKE VALLEY RESOURCE
CONSERVATION DISTRICT (RCD)**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE HONEY LAKE VALLEY
RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT MAKING FINDINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AB
361 AND GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953, AUTHORIZING REMOTE
TELECONFERENCE MEETINGS OF THE LEGISLATIVE BODIES OF THE HONEY LAKE
VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

March 24th, 2022

WHEREAS, all meetings of Honey Lake Valley County Resource Conservation District's ("District") Board of Directors meetings are open and public, as required by the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Gov. Code 54950 – 54963), so that any member of the public may attend, participate, and watch the District's legislative bodies conduct their business; and

WHEREAS, Governor Newsom signed AB 361, amending the Brown Act, including Government Code section 54953, subd. (e), that makes provisions for remote teleconferencing participation in meetings by members of a legislative body, without compliance with the requirements of Government Code section 54953, subd. (b)(3), subject to the existence of certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, a required condition of AB 361 is that a state of emergency is declared by the Governor pursuant to Government Code section 8625, proclaiming the existence of conditions of disaster or of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property within the state caused by conditions as described in Government Code section 8558; and

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2020, Governor Newsom issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency in response to the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, the proclaimed state of emergency remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, California Department of Public Health and the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention caution that the Delta variant of COVID- 19, currently the dominant strain of COVID-19 in the country, is more transmissible than prior variants of the virus, may cause more severe illness, and that even fully vaccinated individuals can spread the virus to others resulting in rapid and alarming rates of COVID-19 cases and hospitalizations (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/delta-variant.html>); and

WHEREAS, the District is committed to preserving and nurturing public access and participation in meetings of the Board of Directors and to protecting the health, welfare, and safety of the participants; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors does hereby find that the COVID-19 emergency has caused, and will continue to cause, conditions of peril to the safety of persons within the District that are likely to be beyond the control of services, personnel, equipment, and facilities of the District, and deems it necessary to find that meeting in person for meetings of all District-related legislative bodies as well as subcommittees of the Board of Directors subject to the Ralph M. Brown Act, would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, and thus intends to invoke the provisions of AB 361 related to teleconferencing as provided in Government Code section 54953, subd. (e); and

WHEREAS, all teleconference meetings of the Board of Directors of the District and any legislative bodies of the District shall comply with the requirements to provide the public with access to the meetings as prescribed in section 54953; subd. (e)(2).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Honey Lake Valley Resource Conservation District as follows:

1. Recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference.
2. The Board finds that meeting in person for meetings of all District-related legislative bodies subject to the Ralph M. Brown Act would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.
3. Staff is directed to return to the Board of Directors no later than thirty (30) days after the adoption of this resolution, or by the next Board of Directors meeting (whichever comes first), with an item for the Board to consider making the findings required by AB 361 in order to continue meeting under its provisions.
4. The District Executive Director is hereby authorized and directed to take all actions necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution including, conducting open and public meetings in accordance with Government Code section 54953, subd. (e), and other applicable provisions of the Brown Act.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION WAS DULY AND REGULARLY ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Honey Lake Valley Resource Conservation District at its special meeting held on the 24th day of March 2022, by the following vote:

Adopted on following motion by Director _____ seconded by
Director _____ and the following vote on this ____ day of _____
2022.

Director Vote:

Ayes: _____

Noes: _____

Absent: _____

Abstain: _____

Jesse Claypool, Chair
Board of Directors

WAYNE LANGSTON, Secretary/Treasurer
Board of Directors

Attest:

Andrea Stuemky, District Manager



AB 1902 (Aguiar-Curry)

Q&A

1. Why is CARCD proposing this bill?

CARCD has been engaged in an effort to update Division 9 for over five years as part of our long-term strategy for baseline funding and capacity funding. The proposed changes in AB 1902 have been driven by conversations with RCDs and we have engaged partners like DOC, CSDA, and CALAFCO.

The last meaningful update to Division 9 took place in the 1970s and RCDs have evolved quite a bit since that time and are pushing the envelope on activities RCDs are undertaking regarding their statutory authority. This has been called out by the LAFCO in San Diego and was a main reason why the LAFCO paused their Municipal Service Review of the San Diego County based RCDs.

More important than responding to LAFCOs, RCDs are often passed up by legislators or questioned as to whether we are the right entity to be included in legislation after legislators read our outdated code. We are missing out on opportunities. The proposed updates in AB 1902 clearly spell out the role of RCDs in achieving the state's conservation goals and responding to the natural resource challenges facing California, principally addressing climate, habitat, drought and other critical challenges.

2. Where is the bill in the process?

The bill is just starting out and is scheduled to be heard in the Assembly Local Government Committee, which is chaired by Assemblymember Aguiar-Curry, the author of the bill. Should the bill pass the Local Government Committee, the bill would then likely be heard in Assembly Appropriations Committee sometime in May and then on the Assembly Floor at the end of May.

From there the bill would head to the Senate where it would be heard in the Senate Governance & Finance Committee sometime in June, Senate Appropriations Committee in early to mid-August and then on the Senate Floor in the latter half of August.

This is the first step in a long process.

3. Is this hearing the only opportunity for my RCD to weigh in?

No! As noted above, AB 1902 is at the first step of the legislative process. There is time to continue working on the language and vet new amendments to the language in print or other sections of Division 9.

Further, positions by individual RCDs can be taken later in the process if more time is needed for board input.

It is very common and expected in the legislative process for language amendments to happen throughout the process.

4. How does the bill change Department of Conservation's authorities?

Sections 1 & 2 of the bill:

Current state requires the department to undertake several activities including:

- providing soil conservation advisory services to local governments, RCDs, landowners, farmers and ranchers
- Be a state level liaison to RCDs
- Review CEQA documents

AB 1902 would change this from a mandate on DOC to a permission, but more importantly provides new authorities to DOC to provide a range of assistance to RCDs, such as financial, technical, organization and capacity.

Further, the bill updates the role of DOC in providing advice and coordination on activities of conservation importance across the state.

Sections 3 & 4 of the bill

AB 1902 would explicitly authorize DOC to provide advance payments to RCDs and create a new mechanism to provide grants or local assistance to RCDs so that PRC 9084 is no longer the only pathway in statute for DOC to provide grants to RCDs.

In addition, the bill authorizes DOC to enter into interagency agreements with RCDs. We are working on amendments to explicitly authorize RCDs to enter into interagency agreements with any department/agency of the state.

5. Does the bill create an unfunded mandate on RCDs?

No! The changes proposed to PRC 9413 (Section 9 of the bill) do not create an unfunded mandate as the language is still permissive as to RCDs creating long-range and annual work plans as well as annual reports. The language does not require RCDs to create those documents.

The amendments do require those plans and reports to meet certain requirements should an RCD create them.

6. What other changes are proposed to Division 9?

There are several changes proposed, the following breaks down the changes section by section.

A. Section 5 of the Bill

AB 1902 proposes to delete PRC 9084, the current grant program DOC can use to provide funds to RCDs. We have heard loud and clear that 9084 is problematic due to the local match requirement in (b)(3). This section requires that any RCD receiving a grant from DOC has a 25% local match requirement- even for capacity building funds to underfunded RCDs. After consultation with DOC, we have learned that DOC is providing Prop 68 grants through PRC 9084, so we will be bringing this section back but deleting the local match requirement.

B. Section 6 of the Bill

PRC 9151 states that RCDs may be formed for the control of runoff, the prevention or control of soil erosion, the development and distribution of water, and the improvement of

land capabilities. This language was last updated in 1975 and does not reflect all the important work RCDs are doing on the ground.

Our understanding is that many RCD activities can be covered under “improvement of land capabilities” a broad and vague statement. New activities may not be covered by even this language, such as home-hardening for wildfire resilience. Equally important, the updates and additional language stake a claim as to the role of RCDs and put in statute that RCDs are the on the ground leaders the state should turn to for this work.

C. Section 7 of the Bill

Aligns the purposes of what lands may be included in a district to the new language in 9151.

D. Section 8 of the Bill

Simplifies the statute to say that lands in a district do not need to be contiguous.

E. Section 9 of the Bill

See the answer to question 5.

F. Section 10 of the Bill

Clarifying language for adding lands to a district

Community-Based Prescribed Fire Capacity Building - Request for Proposals

This request for proposals (RFP) is geared towards the development and growth of Prescribed Burn Associations (PBAs) and community-led prescribed fire groups across California. Please note that your group does not need to be a formal PBA in order to apply for funds. The term "PBA" will be used generically throughout this RFP, and includes all community-led prescribed fire groups.

Please only submit one RFP per PBA or community-led prescribed fire group.

RFP Schedule:

The deadline to respond to the RFP and submit required documents is Tuesday, April 5th, 2022 by 11:59 pm Pacific Time. Proposals will be reviewed within three weeks. If awarded, funding will be available from mid-May 2022 through July of 2024.

There are 5 sections to this RFP.

- Section 1. Basic Information (required)
- Section 2. PBA or Community-led Prescribed Fire Leadership Funding (optional)
- Section 3. PBA or Community-Led Prescribed Fire Peer Mentor Funding (optional)
- Section 4. PBA or Community-Led Prescribed Fire Training and Travel Funding (optional)
- Section 5. Budget request (required)

This RFP is for three types of funding (listed above in sections 2, 3 and 4). You are NOT required to apply for all three types of funding.

Funding is provided by the Watershed Research and Training Center through the Regional Forest and Fire Capacity (RFFC) program, which is part of California Climate Investments, a statewide program that puts billions of cap-and-trade dollars to work reducing greenhouse gas emissions, strengthening the economy and improving public health and the environment –particularly in disadvantaged communities.

For project-specific questions related to this RFP, please contact Erin Banwell or Miller Bailey at firemanagement@thewatershedcenter.com. For administrative questions, please contact Tracy McFadin at tracy@thewatershedcenter.com.



astueimky@notheyiarevalney1ca.us [Switch account](#)



The name and photo associated with your Google account will be recorded when you upload files and submit this form. Only the email you enter is part of your response.

Any files that are uploaded will be shared outside of the organization they belong to.

* Required

Email *

Your email

Section 1. Basic Information

Please upload the following Contact Information.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1SvSZhDegVOL1i_jhd515K7E1-Lg--C_g/edit?usp=sharing&oid=100446595264399764914&rtpof=true&sd=true *

Add file

Primary Contact Name (First Last)

Your answer

Primary Contact Phone Number

Your answer



Primary Contact Organization

Your answer

PBA or Community-Based Prescribed Fire group name

Your answer

Geographic range of group

Your answer

Please write a brief description of your PBA or Community-Led Prescribed Fire group. Include the number of members, how long you've been working together, how many burns accomplished, if you have hosted any workshops, TREXs, or other events, and any other funding sources?

Your answer

Has your PBA or community-led prescribed fire group received any Regional Forest and Fire Capacity (RFFC) funding? (i.e. Sierra Nevada Conservancy RFFC funds, etc.) If so, please briefly describe. *Note, this will not preclude you from receiving these funds, but will be used for tracking purposes.

Your answer



If your PBA or Community-led Prescribed Fire group filled out the Cooperative Burn Questionnaire, please upload it here. Note: It is strongly encouraged that your group fill out the questionnaire. To download a blank version of the questionnaire, please visit this link:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1N2UW5wmixKjYP23ZMIG74gCBtJ3Husi91PeBKBjyPGY/edit?usp=sharing>

 Add file

Please upload your group's tax-status documentation, IRS tax-exempt status determination letter for non-profit 501(c)(3) organization or a community organization using a fiscal sponsor. Non-profit applicants shall provide Articles of Incorporation including the Seal from the Secretary of State. Business applicants shall provide proof of active business registration with the California Secretary of State. *

 Add file

Applicants can either use the de minimis rate of no more than 20% of direct costs for administrative expenses, or their federally Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate (NICRA). Select one:

- NICRA
- 20% de minimus
- less than 20% de minimus (specify in budget spreadsheet, section 5 below)



If selecting NICRA, please upload a copy of your entities' current NICRA.

 Add file

Section 2. PBA Leadership Funding

This RFP is supporting 4-10 PBAs up to 0.5 FTE funding for PBA leadership time (including fringe/fully benefited costs). This funding is meant to build local capacity and continuity, and is focused on development and planning - not implementation.

Examples of PBA Leadership Funding include funding someone to coordinate, visit burn units, mentor landowners on burn planning and permitting, hosting meetings and trainings, talk with media, share on Podio and other social media outlets, and develop and maintain partner relationships related to prescribed fire.

Is your group applying for PBA leadership funding? If yes, continue answering questions in this section. If no, please skip to the next section. *

Yes

No

Who is this funding for?

Your answer

What, if any, organization do they currently work for?

Your answer

Please tell us a bit more about this person. Are they currently a leader of the PBA? Is there current funding for their role as a leader? What is their experience with prescribed fire? What is their experience with community leadership?



Anything else you'd like to add.

Your answer

Add a list of activities you plan to do with this funding, and one or more deliverables associated with each activity, for Year 1 and Year 2. Please be specific.

Your answer

Section 3. PBA Peer Mentorship Funding

This RFP is supporting 2-6 PBA Peer Mentors up to 0.25 FTE (including fringe/fully benefited costs).

There are some PBA leaders who have exceptional experience in PBA development and organization and bring unique skill sets to the table. These Peer Mentors will assist other PBAs that have similar attributes. Attributes may include close geographic proximity, fuel types, or partnerships (USFS, Fire Safe Councils, etc.). We believe it is important to support Peer Mentorship with funding, so cross training among PBAs continues to happen and is encouraged.

This funding is meant to fund experienced PBA leaders who would like to mentor other PBAs. Examples include funding experienced PBA leaders to share documents and resources regarding PBA development with other PBAs, to answer questions about the USFS / PBA relationships and how other PBAs can develop USFS relations, etc. The PBA Peer Mentors will be working closely with WRTC PBA Coaches for guidance and to ensure alignment.

Is your group applying for PBA peer mentorship funding? If yes, continue answering questions in this section. If no, please skip to the next section. *

Yes

No

Note: If your group is applying for funding for more than one PBA Peer Mentor, please specify when answering the questions below.



Who is this funding for?

Your answer

What, if any, organization do they currently work for?

Your answer

Please tell us a bit more about this person. What is their experience with PBA development and leadership? What is their experience with prescribed fire? Do they have experience mentoring PBAs outside their area? Anything else you'd like to add.

Your answer

How does this person see themselves filling a PBA mentorship role over the next 2 years?

Your answer

Add a list of activities you plan to do with this funding, and one or more deliverables associated with each activity, for Year 1 and Year 2. Please be specific.

Your answer



Section 4. PBA or Community-Led Prescribed Fire Training and Travel Funding

This RFP is supporting requests not to exceed \$10,000 per PBA for training and travel support. This portion of funding will support PBA or Community-Led Prescribed Fire group leaders and active members to attend Cal-TREX events, TREX events, peer learning opportunities, NWCG courses, or CARX class.

This can include course tuition, attendance time, and travel funding support. Travel must comply with state travel guidelines, which can be found on <https://www.calhr.ca.gov/employees/Pages/travel-reimbursements.aspx>. Travel can include mileage at the state reimbursable rate, and lodging based on the rates in the link provided.

Training investment should continue to be made into active and engaged PBA members who attend trainings, prescribed fires, and Cal-TREX events.

Is your group applying for PBA or Community-Led Prescribed Fire Travel and Training Funding? If yes, continue answering questions in this section. If no, please skip to the next section. *

Yes

No

Describe how your group will utilize these training and travel funds. Please be specific.

Your answer

Section 5. Budget

This RFP extends through July 2024. The budget spreadsheet template can be downloaded here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1h0V5wnTkBFnhEhgPO8OdRMKbRogE_mMWX4LOvhcBJLM/edit?usp=sharing



Please upload your group's proposed budget spreadsheet. *

 Add file

Is your group willing to accept partial funding, if necessary?

Yes

No

What is your group's overall funding request? (must match spreadsheet)

Your answer

What is your group's total PBA leadership funding request? (must match spreadsheet)

Your answer

What is your group's total PBA peer mentorship funding request? (must match spreadsheet)

Your answer

What is your group's total PBA or Community-Led Prescribed Fire Training and Travel funding request? (must match spreadsheet)

Your answer



To support future funding opportunities, what additional funding support does your PBA or community-led burn group need? (i.e. 1 FTE PBA leader, equipment, etc.) and how much funding (i.e. \$80,000 for a FTE and \$25,000 of equipment). Please be specific, this is critical information for future funding!

Your answer



California
Department of
Conservation



The Watershed Research and Training Center does not unlawfully discriminate, harass, or allow harassment against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, ancestry, national origin, religion, creed, age (over 40), mental disability, physical disability, sex, gender (including pregnancy, childbirth, breastfeeding, or related medical conditions), sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, and military and veteran status. We and subcontractors shall ensure that the evaluation and treatment of our/their employees and applicants for employment are free from such discrimination and harassment. We and subcontractors shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Gov Code. § 12990 (a-f) et seq.) and the applicable regulations promulgated thereunder (California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 7285 et seq.). The applicable regulations of the Fire Employment and Housing Commission implementing Government Code Section 12990 (a-f), set forth in Chapter 5 of Division 4 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, are incorporated into this Grant Agreement by reference and made a part hereof as if set forth in full.

This work is funded by a grant from the California Natural Resources Agency and Department of Conservation as part of California Climate Investments, a statewide initiative that puts billions of Cap-and-Trade dollars to work reducing greenhouse gas emissions, strengthening the economy, and improving public health and the environment — particularly in disadvantaged communities. {Your Organization's Name} is an equal opportunity provider.

Indian Wells
(760) 568-2611
Irvine
(949) 263-2600
Los Angeles
(213) 617-8100
Bend,OR
(541) 382-3011



BEST BEST & KRIEGER
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

3390 University Avenue, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 1028, Riverside, CA 92502
Phone: (951) 686-1450 | Fax: (951) 686-3083 | www.bbklaw.com
Tax ID # 95-2157337

Ontario
(909) 989-8584
Sacramento
(916) 325-4000
San Diego
(619) 525-1300
Walnut Creek
(925) 977-3300
Washington DC
(202) 785-0600

ANDREA STUEMKY, RCD DISTRICT MANAGER
HONEY LAKE VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
170 RUSSELL AVENUE
SUSANVILLE, CA 96130

March 3, 2022
Invoice 928527
AMS
Page 1

INVOICE SUMMARY

For Professional Services Rendered Through February 28, 2022:

Our Matter # 83697.00001
Re: GENERAL/WATER COUNSEL

Current Fees:	\$	<u>87.00</u>
Total Current Billings For This Matter:	\$	87.00
Balance from Previous Statement:		428.72
Payments and Other Credits Received:		<u>(428.72)</u>
Prior Outstanding Balance:		0.00
Total Amount Due:	\$	<u><u>87.00</u></u>

INVOICE IS DUE AND PAYABLE UPON RECEIPT

Indian Wells
(760) 568-2611
Irvine
(949) 263-2600
Los Angeles
(213) 617-8100
Bend,OR
(541) 382-3011



BEST BEST & KRIEGER
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

3390 University Avenue, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 1028, Riverside, CA 92502
Phone: (951) 686-1450 | Fax: (951) 686-3083 | www.bbklaw.com
Tax ID # 95-2157337

Ontario
(909) 989-8584
Sacramento
(916) 325-4000
San Diego
(619) 525-1300
Walnut Creek
(925) 977-3300
Washington DC
(202) 785-0600

ANDREA STUEMKY, RCD DISTRICT MANAGER
HONEY LAKE VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
170 RUSSELL AVENUE
SUSANVILLE, CA 96130

March 3, 2022
Invoice 928528
AMS
Page 1

INVOICE SUMMARY

For Professional Services Rendered Through February 28, 2022:

Our Matter # 83697.00003
Re: DOW V. HONEY LAKE VALLEY RCD (CASE NO. C091965)

Current Fees:	\$	<u>1,125.00</u>
Total Current Billings For This Matter:	\$	1,125.00
Balance from Previous Statement:		21.00
Payments and Other Credits Received:		<u>0.00</u>
Prior Outstanding Balance:		21.00
Total Amount Due:	\$	<u><u>1,146.00</u></u>

INVOICE IS DUE AND PAYABLE UPON RECEIPT